### Dynamic Community A New Approach to Supporting Knowledge Collaboration

Yunwen Ye SRA Key Technology Laboratory & Center for LifeLong and Design, University of Colorado Nov 13, 2004

## Outline

- □ The DynC (Dynamic Community) project
- What's dynamic community and why?
- A generic architecture for software systems in support of dynamic community
- Dynamic community theory applied to software reuse
- Summary

### Background

#### Funding agency

 Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT), Japan

Period

Oct. 2003 – Mar. 2006,

#### Main Members

- Principal Investigator
  - Kouichi Kishida, SRA-KTL
- Co-PIs:
  - Yunwen Ye SRA-KTL & L3D, Univ. of Colorado
  - Katsuro Inoue Osaka University
  - Ken'ichi Matsumoto Nara Institute of Science and Technology
  - Kumiyo Nakakoji University of Tokyo

# Overall research goal


Collaborative knowledge construction

Theory of and implementation techniques for dynamic community

# Socio-technical environments conducive to knowledge collaboration

- Cognitive proximity
  - Shared interest in the problem, the task or the knowledge involved as the bonding force
- Structural proximity
  - Timely communication channels exist among members
  - Social connection paths exist among participating members
- Relational proximity
  - The sense of closeness that members feel toward other members
    - obligations and expectations among the members
    - trust and motivation
- All proximities change dynamically
  - Support for situated and agile knowledge collaboration is needed

#### Current approaches to knowledge collaboration




# Knowledge repository

#### Knowledge is a thing that is

- Independent of context and knowledge owners
- Specifiable
- Transferrable
- The knowledge management cycle
  - Creation Capture Retrieval Use
- Deeply rooted in traditional AI research

# Problems with knowledge repository

- Unable to capture tacit knowledge
- The context gap
- Ignoring the structural and relational proximity completely




#### Community-based knowledge collaboration

#### Knowledge is not a thing; it's


- Fundamentally tacit
- Highly contextualized and individualized to knowledge-owners
- Always reconstructed in a new context
- □ Sharing in a community
  - Knowledge transfers along social networks
  - Knowledge gets transferred through social interactions among members with shared background

# Problems with community

- Communities exist for a relative long time once formulated
- Experts and novices are regarded as personal attributes and their roles remain stable for a long time
  - One-direction information flow from experts to novices
  - Overload of experts
    - Easy task should not go to the experts
- No consideration for the difference of individual tasks
  - Not dependent on the diversity and situatedness of an individual's task and information needs
- Little consideration of social relationship between members
  - Member relationship is not differentiated
  - Member relationship outside of the community is not considered




#### Dynamic community: an integrated approach




# Defining dynamic community


- A dynamic community is a small group of people from a knowledge work space
- A dynamic community is formed for a particular knowledge worker who has a particular task
- Members in the dynamic community share interests in knowledge related to the particular task
- Members in the dynamic community have social connections with the *particular knowledge worker*
- □ *Knowledge worker-*specific and *task*-specific




Set of people  $\Psi = \{A, B, C, D, E, M, N, O, P, Q\}$ Set of information  $\Phi = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon, \zeta, \eta, \theta\}$ 




Relation between information  $II = \{ (\alpha, \beta), (\alpha, \gamma), (\beta, \varepsilon), (\beta, \delta), (\gamma, \theta), (\zeta, \eta) \}$ 



Relation between people and information  $PI = \{ (B, \alpha), (C, \alpha), (M, \alpha), (B, \beta), (D, \beta), (E, \gamma), (N, \gamma), (D, \delta), (P, \varepsilon), (O, \zeta), (Q, \eta), (Q, \theta) \}$ 




Relation between people **PP**={ (A, B), (A, C), (A, D), (A, E), (A, O), (A, P), (D, O), (E, N), (E, Q), (M, P), (M, N), (O, Q)}




 $\begin{aligned} & \textit{KWS} = (\{(\alpha, \beta), (\alpha, \gamma), (\beta, \epsilon), (\beta, \delta), (\gamma, \theta), (\zeta, \eta)\}, \\ & \{(B, \alpha), (C, \alpha), (M, \alpha), (B, \beta), (D, \beta), (E, \gamma), (N, \gamma), (D, \delta), (P, \epsilon), (O, \zeta), (Q, \eta), (Q, \theta)\}, \\ & \{(A, B), (A, C), (A, D), (A, E), (A, O), (A, P), (D, O), (E, N), (E, Q), (M, P), (M, N), (O, Q)\} ) \end{aligned}$ 


# The forming process of a DynC




#### Triggering event




From information to information




From information to experts





From people to people



#### $Dync(A, \alpha) = \{B, C, D, E\}$







## Why dynamic community

#### Expertise is a relative attribute

- Depends on the task
- Asymmetry of knowledge
  Two-way knowledge transfer

#### Improve motivation to participate

Knowledge transfer through individual's social network

### Characteristics of dynamic community


#### Ad hoc and on-demand

- It is formed dynamically when the needs arise
- It dissembles when the needs disappear
- Task-specific
  - The network is formed for a specific task
  - Different dynamic communities for different tasks

#### Member-specific


- The network is formed for a specific member
- Different dynamic communities for different member


#### Task-specific and member-specific



Member-specific


Task-specific






 $DynC(N, \alpha) = \{E, N, M\}$ 

### General system architecture



# DynC formation support subsystem




### Social awareness mechanism

- Unobstrusive notification mechanism
- Respect experts' time and willingness
  - Give them excuse space
- Selecting experts based on interaction history
  - Capture and display social interaction
  - Social interaction outside of the domain should also be considered
- Load balance
  - Not overwhelming the same expert with requests for help
- For longer-term success
  - The helper should be the first priority


Creating dynamic communities that support software reuse

A concrete example in CodeBroker


#### Delivery of task-relevant components



### From component to the document




### From component to example



# Finding and selecting experts

- Looking for programs that use format
- Finding those programmers who wrote the programs
- Selecting those who have interacted with A before
  - not about the component format

#### Asking for help with Choo-choo messenger




# Why should I help?

- Make individual social capital explicit
- Individual social capital: social resources that can be drawn from others by an individual
  - SCj = Sum(favors to others by j) Sum(favors owed by j)
  - Sum(SCj) = 0
- Social bonding force
  - SBFij = Sum(favors from i to j) + Sum(favors from j to i)
    - = Sum(social captial tranaction between i and j)
- Gross community capital: a measurement of the strength and liveliness of a community
  - GCC = Sum(favors to others by j) + Sum(favor owed by j)
    - = Sum(SBFij)
    - = Sum(social capital exchanged in each transaction)


# Offering help

Hess	enger <sup>s</sup> s Trac	Request for help on format		
	[for	mat - Jack]		
	춫더 Hessage Subject:	format		
B	> to convert : > format. Cou	Jack@Colorado.EDU k. I want to use java.text.NumberFormat.format a number written in Chinese format to Western Id you help me with this? Thank you. to my office or call me at 123-4567. ado.EDU		
	Close Messo if	self.wfile.write(m) content_length > 0: m=f_read(content_length)	Help	Ignore

### Collaboration



# Dynamic mailing list



# Theoretical questions

Relationship with community of practice, community of interest, intensional network and other similar theories

	Community of Practice	Community of Interest	Intensional Network	Dynamic Community
Granularity	Domain	Problem	Project	Task
Bonding factor	Shared identity	Shared problem	Shared work history	Generalized reciprocity
Focus of relationship	Individual to community	Individual to community	Individual to individual	Individual to individual
Motivation	Learning to be	Shared understanding	Divided labor and roles	Asynchronous mutual learning
Persistence	Long-term	Short-term	Long-term	Ephemeral

### Summary

#### Dynamic community is

- Ad hoc
- On-demand
- Ephemeral
- Task-specific
- Member-specific

It's not "it's what you know; it's who you know"; it's both.