

Wisdom is not the product of schooling but the lifelong attempt to acquire it. - Albert Einstein

Knowledge Management (KM)

Push and Push Technologies

Gerhard Fischer and Leysia Palen Spring Semester 1999

April 19, 1999

Major Processes in Knowledge Management



Knowledge Creation, Integration, and Dissemination

• Creation:

- breakdowns (backtalk of the situation)
- symmetry of ignorance
- creating shared understanding
- solving problems at level 3 in the seeding, evolutionary growth, reseeding model against the background of level 2

• Integration:

- shared understanding
- externalizations
- (enriched) work products and the discourses about them
- information repositories and organizational memories

• Dissemination

- learning on demand
- integration of working and learning
- contextualized information presentation
- knowledge delivery
- reflection-in-action / making argumentation serve design

KM: Traditional versus New Models of Working and Learning in Organizations

	traditional	new	
Paradigm	knowledge transmission	knowledge construction	
Learning	classroom	on demand	
Tasks	system driven (canonical)	user/task driven	
Social structures	individuals in hierarchical structures	collaborative in flat structures (communities of practice)	
Work style	standardize	improvise	
Information spaces	closed, static	open, dynamic	
Breakdowns	errors to be avoided	opportunity for innovation and learning	
Communication	centralized, top-down	decentralized, peer-to-peer	

L³D'sWork in KM: Theoretical and Conceptual Frameworks

- knowledge acquisition ---> knowledge construction (breakdowns and symmetry of ignorance as sources for new knowledge)
- closed system ---> open and evolvable systems (seeding, evolutionary growth, reseeding model, M1-M3 model of the WWW)
- information ----> attention economy
 - saying the "right" thing at the "right" time in the "right" way
 - making information relevant to the task at hand
 - integration of working and learning and supporting learning on demand
 - supporting "reflection-in-action" and making argumentation serve design (critiquing)
- complement information access ("pull" technologies) with information delivery ("push" technologies)
- **individual <---> social** (group, organization): how do we effectively collect individual knowledge and make it accessible to the entire organization?

The Seeding / Evolutionary Growth / Reseeding (SER) Model



Knowledge as Commodity

- Postman, p 116 in "The End of Education": "Knowledge is presented as a commodity to be acquired, never as a human struggle to understand, to overcome falsity, to stumble towards the truth"
- John Thomas, IBM: "knowledge management" today seeks to continue and expand the trend of decontextualizing knowledge to a silly extent -- e.g.,
 - the myth that we can simply "capture" the knowledge of a thirty-year expert in explicit form so we can fire the expert and hire someone with no relevant skills off the street who can now use the "knowledge base" to perform like an expert
 - the myth that in the ideal company, all knowledge will be captured worldwide and instantly fed via high band-width lines to a central place where globally optimal decisions can be made for the entire company and fed back out to the periphery for implementation

L³D's Work in KM: Systems Building Efforts of the Past Decade

- Janus / KID: making argumentation serve design, embedded critiquing
- Voice Dialog Design Environment: domain construction and controlling the intrusiveness of "pull technologies"
- **Network**: incremental formalization (---> the artifact itself can be used to locate and access information in addition to browsing and search)
- Indy: embedded communication, history mechanisms
- **location / comprehension / modification cycle**: now applied to Gamelan, Educational Object Economy, Behavior Exchange
- •
- Envisionment and Discovery Collaboratory: knowledge construction, shared understanding, integration of action and reflection space

Voice Dialog Design Environment



Embedded Communication

(see assignment)



L³D's Work in KM — Assessment

- KM: new technology is necessary, but not sufficient ---> change of work practices, mindsets and reward structures is necessary
 - design rationale research
 - reuse versus "not invented here"
 - media competition
- motivation for a group is different than for an individual
 - who is the beneficiary and who has to do the work?
 - utility = value /effort
- engage skilled professionals in realistic work situations
 - requires useful and usable systems (not just demo systems)
 - prerequisite for evolutionary growth
- "collaborative systems will not work in a non-collaborative society"

a student's observation in a class using technologies to enhance peerto-peer learning, sharing of information, self-evaluation, etc.

Pull and Push Technologies

	pull	push
function	information access	information delivery
examples	passive help systems, search, browsing, bookmarks	critiquing, active help systems, agent-based systems, broadcast systems
systems	WWW, Gamelan, Behavior Exchange	DYK, Tip of the Day, Pointcast, How the West was Won
strengths	non-intrusive	serendipity, unknown, relevant knowledge is offered
weaknesses	task relevant knowledge may remain hidden	intrusiveness, decontextualized information
major system design challenges	supporting users in expressing queries,	situation awareness, intent recognition, task models, user models

New System Building Efforts

Challenges	GIMMe	DynaSites	Persona	ePost-it
objective	Group Memory	WWW Support for Collaborative Design		
Capture	Email (captured with low effort)	Explicit Effort, More Control and Expressibility		
Access	LSA, Browsing Structures	Dynamic Structures, Integration (via Glossary)		
Sustain	Restructuring	Restructuring, Embedded Communication		

The Producer / Consumer Model



input filters

- to attempt that new knowledge is "consistent with already existing knowledge", "socially accepted" or "useful at a given historical time"
- to guard against erroneously adding "wrong" solutions, societies have been willing to accept high overhead costs caused by ancient priests, the holy inquisition, medieval librarians, an elaborated patent system, modern reviewing procedures for scientific papers ---> question: what do we have and what do we need for the WWW?
- only knowledge that would pass these input filters would be allowed to enter the repositories of accumulated knowledge

output filters:

- to control the re-use of the accumulated knowledge
- examples: guilds, professions, formal educational institutions