

Wisdom is not the product of schooling but the lifelong attempt to acquire it. - Albert Einstein

Computer-Supported Cooperative Work (CSCW)

Gerhard Fischer and Leysia Palen Spring Semester 1999

April 7, 1999

Computer-Supported Cooperative Work (CSCW)

- explores the potential of computer technologies to help people work together
- CSCW is relevant to design because **collaboration** is playing a larger role in design projects
- large and complex design projects cannot be accomplished by any single person
 - they increasingly require expertise in a wide range of areas
 - software design projects involve: designers, programmers, HCI specialists, marketing people, user participants,
- the design process takes place over many years, with initial design followed by extended periods of maintenance, evolution and redesign

A Communication And Coordination Perspective Support for Communication With

- **ourselves** (e.g., capturing our thoughts of the past, allowing us to create personalized information environments that extend the knowledge we can keep in our head —*reflexive CSCW*
- **tools** (e.g., knowing which tools exist, how the can be used, and how they can be tailored to our specific needs
- colleagues (e.g., supporting long-term, indirect collaboration)
 - community of practice
 - community of interests
- **agents and critics** (e.g., in the context of cooperative problem-solving systems)

Communication And Coordination Processes

• between designers and clients

- clients do not know what they want,
- designers and clients need shared knowledge and artifacts for mutual understanding
- require "externalizations for mutual understanding / languages of doing" instead of formal representations

• within design teams

- most real tasks are not done by individuals but by groups of people
- members within such teams might have very different interests (for example, waterfall models in software design are the heaven for managers and the hell for creative programmers)
- between designer(s) and design environments
 - environments serve as group and design artifact memories that can be used to support indirect, long-term communication
 - discussions about the design must be embedded in the design

Dimensions of Collaboration

- temporal (across time)
 - asynchronous
 - indirect, long-term
- spatial (across space)
 - networks
- social (among persons) "virtual communities"
- technologically (persons and artifacts)
 - human-computer interaction ---->húman problem-domain communication

Formalization

• different approaches and requirements:

- DAI (Distributed Artificial Intelligence)
- CPS (Cooperative Problem Solving)
- CSCW (Computer-Supported Collaborative Work)

• the rationale for incremental formalization:

- + of informal: less disruptive
- + of formal: more computationally interpretable
- See: Shipman, F. (1993) Supporting Knowledge-Base Evolution with Incremental Formalization, Ph.D. Dissertation, Department of Computer Science, University of Colorado at Boulder, Boulder, CO.

Incremental Formalization

• problems:

- the inhibiting effect of explicit structure
- the need to exploit informal communication
- the need for formalization

• solutions:

- informal, unstructured input
- support for incremental formalization

Alternate Communication Paradigms



The Standard Classification Scheme of CSCW Perspectives



An Extended Classification of CSCW Perspectives



Design, Use, Maintenance and Evolution Who is Doing What?

• traditional view:

- design and maintenance: by the software designer
- use: by the user

• our view — to support evolution:

- participatory design -- users are part of the design activity; they own the problem
- "use" is not just use, but should lead to enhancements -- end-user modifiability
- maintenance / evolution:
 - 60% of overall software costs of a program occur after the program is delivered
 - 75% of maintenance are program enhancements ---> best done by the users

Examples of CSCW Systems

Email

- Email is the most successful groupware application.
- limitations as a tool for collaborative design:
 - * typically managed by individuals, there is no shared information space
 - * stored separately from artifacts, its contents are more difficult to access
 - * isolated from the design artifact, users must supply more contextual information along with their message (deixis is impossible)

WorkFlow Systems

- seek to coordinate work within and across workgroups
- support scheduling, design verification (assurance that an artifact is implemented "to-specification"), and reporting

Groupware — examples:

- Coordinator system (structuring conversations according to a specific conversational model)
- Lotus Notes
- GIMME system stores email messages

Domain-Oriented Design Environments as CSCW Systems

- supporting indirect, long-term collaborative design with integrated, domain-oriented design environments in situations where:
 - direct communication is impossible, impractical or undesirable
 - communication is shared around artifacts and information space evolution
 - designers need to be informed within the context of their work on realworld design problems
- support **reflection-in-action**, make argumentation serve design
- support embedded communication

Embedding Artifacts and Communication in Design Environments



the artifact

design and communication

Collaborative Work Practices

- division of labor is a cultural universal
- **claim:** domain-oriented design environments afford end-user more power, but not at the expense of ease of use
- **challenge:** to design both software systems and work practices that take advantage of the division of labor

• work practices:

- local developers, gardeners, power users
- representation for mutual understanding
- face-to-face or media-supported direct communication
- remote, indirect collaboration via reuse of artifacts

• software systems:

- end-user modifiability
- catalogs
- design rationale
- layered architectures
- critiquing knowledge